

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,834	02/17/2006	Pierre Hermanus Woerlee	NL031035	6954
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 03/31/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	MINER	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ASHFORD, TAMARA R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/568.834 WOERLEE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Tamara Ashford 2627 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 January 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 February 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s) 1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revies 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	w (PTO-948) Paper	iew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informat Potent Application
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Action Summary	Part of Paner No /Mail Date 20090324

Application/Control Number: 10/568,834 Page 2

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

 The drawings were received on 17 February 2006. These drawings are accepted.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, and 16 all refer to a "landing zone" but there is no antecedent basis for the claimed term within the original specification.

Claim Objections

 Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: "The according to claim 1" should revised to -- The method according to claim 1 --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. Application/Control Number: 10/568,834 Art Unit: 2627

 Claims 1, 2, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ross (US 20030081535 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Ross discloses a method for recording information on a multilayer record carrier (Paragraphs 3 and 6), the record carrier (Fig. 1, 100) comprising at least two information layers (Fig. 1, 102, and 104; and Paragraph 18) for storing the information. The method comprises acts of: dividing information to be recorded in a first one of multiple sessions into a number of portions corresponding to each of the at least two information layers (Fig. 1, 106, and Paragraphs 6 and 18); recording each of the portions of the information onto the two information layers with a superjacent jump zone and a corresponding landing zone between information layers (Fig. 1, 106C); recording remaining the multiple sessions on the record carrier (Paragraphs 3, and 18).

Regarding claim 2, Ross discloses the information of at least one of the remaining multiple sessions is distributed over at least two information layers (Fig. 1, 108, and 108B; and Paragraphs 18 and 21).

Regarding claim 6, Ross further discloses the remaining multiple sessions are recorded such that any jump zone and corresponding landing zone are superjacent (Fig. 1, 108C).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the Application/Control Number: 10/568,834

Art Unit: 2627

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 3, 7, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ross (US 20030081535 A1).

Regarding claim 3, although Ross discloses the information is distributed over the two information layers, whether the data is evenly distributed across the layers is not disclosed (Paragraph 7). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to distribute the data evenly across the layers to enable tracking to be maintained and prevent system errors.

Regarding claim 7, Ross does not explicitly disclose the size of the jump and landing zones are fixed. However, Ross discusses the invention is applicable to both the opposite track path and parallel track pitch formats (Paragraph 15), therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fix the size of the middle region to facilitate reading in these formats.

Regarding claim 11, Ross does not disclose that each of the multiple sessions includes file system information of a current session and all preceding sessions.

However, the examiner takes official notice that this is a known feature and including it in the method disclosed by Ross would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prevent system errors.

Regarding claim 15, Ross discloses the method is applicable to "DVD type media" which would include DVD+R. Therefore, Ross inherently discloses recording a table of contents on the record carrier in accordance with the DVD+R standard. Ross does not disclose modifying the table of contents such that bytes BI3-BI5 include an

Application/Control Number: 10/568,834

Art Unit: 2627

indication of a last Physical Sector utilized on a layer L0 closest to a recording source. However, determining a location in the table of contents to include an indication of a last Physical Sector utilized on a layer L0 closest to a recording source such that accordance with the DVD+R standard is maintained would require only routine skill in the art.

 Claims 8-10, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ross (US 20030081535 A1) in view of Ishida et al. (US 5,930,225).

Regarding claims 8-10, and 14, Ross does not disclose the use of dummy data. Ishida et al. (hereinafter referred as "Ishida") discloses a method of recording a two layer disk (Claim 5) in which dummy data is recorded in areas of the recording layers where there is data recorded on the opposing layer (Column 10, lines 4-11). This is done to prevent system errors and reduce disk production time. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize dummy data as described by Ishida with the method described by Ross to reduce disk production time. Therefore, the jump and landing zones would be preceded and followed by a dummy ECC block depending on the amount of data recorded on the layers (see Ishida Fig. 6B, and Column 10, lines 41-52).

 Claims 12, 13, 16 and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ross in view of Motohashi et al. (US 20030202782 A1). Application/Control Number: 10/568,834

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 12, Ross does not disclose the first multiple session uses leadin and lead-out zones for the record carrier as intro and closure zones. Motohashi et al.
(hereinafter referred as "Motohashi") discloses a method of recording utilizing a multisession layout in which the lead-in and lead-out zones of the first session are used as
intro and closure zones (Paragraph 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary
skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the multi-session recording
layout described by Motohashi with the method disclosed by Ross to maintain
compatibility with DVD-ROM drive units (Motohashi Paragraph 7).

Regarding claims 13, and 16, Ross discloses each of the multiple sessions has a jump zone, but does not disclose an intro zone, a file system info zone, or a closure zone. Motohashi discloses a multi-session recording layout in which each session has an intro zone, file system zone (as part of intro zone, Fig. 4, Session Control Data Zone), and a closure zone. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate an intro, file system, and closure zone for each of the multiple sessions in the multi-session recording method disclosed by Ross, as with the method disclosed by Motohashi, to maintain compatibility with DVD-ROM drive units.

Regarding claim 17, Ross does not disclose the intro and closure zones are recorded having a size of 64 ECC blocks. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to record the intro and closure zones with a size of 64 ECC blocks, since it has been held that discovering an

Art Unit: 2627

optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,834 Art Unit: 2627

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamara Ashford whose telephone number is (571)270-5877. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30am - 5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on (571)272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Craig A. Renner/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627